

Overview thoughts

1 mow and Homeop

Samual Haneman

Jacques Beneviste

The History of the Memory of Water, by Yole'ne Thomas, gives a personal account of Working with Benveniste.

Homeopathy (2007) 96,151–157

2007 The Faculty of Homeopathy

2. digital biology

3. research supporting:

3.1 journals and orgs

The peer reviewed medical journal Homeopathy, as a Google search will show, covers research, reviews, and debates on all aspects of homeopathy.

4 Critical perspective:

4.1 wiki article: dismissive of memory of water, and B's research, despite acknowledging it's validity

Use of standard techniques of selective presentation of data as well as cherry picking findings to support it's biased conclusions.

IG noble prizes slam, with footnote at end that other scientists have taken up and replicated Beneviste's findings.

This was focused on basophile, with the implicit assumption that all other forms of high dilution were equally fraudulent

Cherry picking:

Citation from journal *Homeopathy*: A criticism. Why would a journal *Homeopathy* exist if the science was fraudulent?

.  
Randi JREF offer to reward 1 million \$ to demo of paranormal; its NOT paranormal, it's science

On 9/1/15, the JREF terminated its million dollar challenge, opting to only make “grants to non-profit groups that we believe are promoting activities that encourage critical thinking and a fact-based world view.”

Not only does this new protocol prevent reputable researchers from claiming the prize, it also assures that the JREF will make grants only to those supporting its world view.

JREF is closely associated with CISCOP. Randi was instrumental in the formation of CISCOP, in a battle to discredit Uri Geller.

In fact, Randi uses the same techniques as CISCOP to discredit scientific research he does not approve of.

The org gets into trouble when it shifts its focus from paranormal to science

From file scientific dissidence:

The arrogance and authoritarian power behind mainstream science may not always be individual scientists, but also may be administrators and editors with an agenda. Conservative agendas may at times be demonstrated to be political, as in the case of CSICOP (Committee for the Scientific Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal), “which funded the journal *Skeptical Inquirer* and issued their own press releases, at times blurring the line between a seemingly objective scientific group and a self-interested lobbying group. fn

Fn Kaiser, p. xxx notes:

[Pinch and Collins (1984), 539 (“scientific-vigilante”); Hess (1993), 11-13; Rensberger (1976), 19; Dewar (1977), 11; and Gilliam (1978b)

Pinch, Trevor and Harry Collins 1984 “Private science and public knowledge: The Committee for the Scientific Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal and it’s use of the literature.” *Social Studies of Science* 14: 521-46

4.2 Unfortunately, the popular science publication *New Scientist* continues with the ignorance and denial: The author of the comment “No more animal magic” (July 2-8, 2016, p. 18) takes aim at homeopathy as a pueudo science.

The author of the comment “No more animal magic” (July 2-8, 2016, p. 18) takes aim at homeopathy as a pueudo science.

5. Who benefits from dismissing the co mow homeo?

5.1 pharmaceutical companies

Google Brian Josephson homeopathy memory of water

James Randi: who paid for his position?

Google james randi drug companies pharmaceutical companies funding

